Engagement Policy Implementation Statement for the Year Ended 31 December 2023 Alstom UK Pension Plan ("the Plan")

1. INTRODUCTION

This Engagement Policy Implementation Statement (the Statement) sets out the Trustee's assessment of how, and the extent to which, they have followed their engagement policy and their policy with regard to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan's investments during the one-year period to 31 December 2023 (the "Plan Year"). The Trustee's policies are set out in their Statement of Investment Principles (SIP) dated September 2022. A copy of the Trustee's SIP is available <u>here</u>.

This Statement has been produced in accordance with the Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment and Modification) Regulations 2018 and the Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019 along with guidance published by the Department for Work and Pensions.

The Trustee has appointed Mercer Limited (Mercer) as a discretionary investment manager and the Plan's assets are invested in a range of specialist pooled funds (the Mercer Funds). Management of the assets of each Mercer Fund is undertaken by a Mercer affiliate, Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE).

The relevant Mercer affiliate is responsible for the appointment and monitoring of a suitably diversified portfolio of specialist third party investment managers for each of the Mercer Fund's assets.

The publicly available <u>Sustainability Policy</u> sets out how Mercer addresses sustainability risks and opportunities and considers Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) factors in decision making across the investment process. The <u>Stewardship Policy</u> provides more detail on Mercer's beliefs and implementation on stewardship specifically. Under these arrangements, the Trustee accepts that they do not have the ability to directly determine the engagement or voting policies or arrangements of the managers of the Mercer Funds. However, the Trustee has reviewed these policies and note an awareness of engagement topics that are important to the Plan. Mercer's Client Engagement Survey seeks to integrate the Trustee's views on specific themes by assessing the level of alignment between Mercer's engagement priority areas and those of the Trustee, while highlighting additional areas of focus which are important to the Trustee. The Trustee reviews regular reports from Mercer with regard to the engagement and voting undertaken within the Mercer Funds in order to consider whether the policies align with those of the Trustee.

Section 2 of this Statement sets out the Trustee's engagement policy and assesses the extent to which it has been followed over the Plan Year.

Section 3 sets out the Trustee's policy with regard to the exercising of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan's investments and considers how, and the extent to which, this policy has been followed during the Plan Year. This Section also provides detail on voting activity undertaken third party investment managers appointed within the Mercer Funds during the Plan Year.

Taking the analysis included in Sections 2 to 3 together, it is the Trustee's beliefs that their policies with regard to engagement and the exercise of rights attaching to investments has been successfully followed during the Plan Year.

2. TRUSTEE'S POLICY ON ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) ISSUES, INCLUDING CLIMATE CHANGE

Policy Summary

The Plan's SIP outlines the Trustee's ESG beliefs. The Trustee keeps these policies under regular review.

Should the Trustee consider that the engagement policies of Mercer, MGIE or the third party asset managers, do not align with those of the Trustee, the Trustee will notify Mercer and consider disinvesting some or all of the assets held in the Mercer Funds and/or seek to renegotiate commercial terms with Mercer.

The Pension Schemes Act 2021 introduced legislation requiring specified pension schemes to ensure there is effective governance with respect to the effects of climate change. Occupational pension schemes with £5 billion or more in assets are required to have in place effective governance, strategy, risk management, and accompanying metrics and targets for the assessment and management of climate risks and opportunities from 1 October 2021, aligned with the Task Force on Climate-related Disclosures ("TCFD") framework. The Trustee has prepared a Climate Change-related Disclosures report for the year to 31 December 2022, the Trustee's first such disclosure, and is designed to comply with the Occupational Pension Schemes (Climate Change Governance and Reporting) Regulations 2021 and in line with guidance from DWP.

How the Policy has been implemented over the Plan Year

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustee's policy on ESG factors, stewardship and climate change.

Policy Updates	Climate Change Reporting and Carbon Foot- printing	ESG Rating Review
MGIE's, investment processes and those of the	Consideration of the impacts of climate change has been central to Mercer's global investment beliefs since 2014. Mercer and the Trustee believes	produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis and

MGIE, provide reporting to the	Trustee on a regul	а
basis.		

The Mercer Sustainability Policy is reviewed regularly. In August 2023 the governance section was updated, and the climate scenario modelling section is now detailed the standalone Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) report.

In line with the requirements of the EU Shareholder Rights Directive II (SRD II), Mercer has implemented a standalone Stewardship Policy to specifically address the requirements of SRD II.

The most recent UN Principles of Responsible Investment results (based on 2022 activity) awarded Mercer were awarded 4 stars out of 5 for Policy Governance and Strategy.

ar climate change poses a systemic risk, with financial impacts driven by two key sources of change:

increase in average global temperatures

economy

Each of these changes presents both risks and opportunities to investors. Mercer therefore considers the potential financial impacts at a diversified portfolio level, in portfolio construction within asset classes, and in investment manager selection and monitoring processes.

In early 2021, Mercer announced its aim to achieve net-zero absolute portfolio carbon emissions by 2050 for UK, European and Asian clients with discretionary portfolios and for the majority of its multi-client, multi-asset funds domiciled in Ireland, To achieve this. Mercer set a 2030 target to reduce portfolio carbon emissions by 45% from 2019 baseline levels and is on track to achieve this aim. Mercer's approach to managing climate change risks is consistent with the framework recommended by the Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), including the Mercer Investment Solutions Europe - Investment Approach to Climate Change 2022 Status Report.

As at 31 December 2022, Mercer is on track to reach our long-term net zero portfolio carbon emissions target. There has been a notable 16% reduction over the 3 years since 2019 baseline

annually - which seeks evidence of positive momentum on ESG integration and compares the Irish domiciled Mercer Funds overall ESG rating 1. The physical damages expected from an with the appropriate universe of strategies in Mercer's Global Investment Manager Database (GIMD). Engagements are prioritised with 2. The associated transition to a low-carbon managers where their strategy's ESG rating is behind that of their peer universe.

> As at 31 December 2022, in the Annual Sustainability Report provided by Mercer, the Trustee noted over 20% of Mercer's Funds have seen an improved ESG rating over the year and the vast majority have a rating ahead of the wider universe. Due to the nature of certain strategies, they do not have an ESG rating (i.e. are N rated) and are therefore excluded from this review.

levels, resulting in the 45% baseline-relative reduction by 2030 being within range.

Approach to Exclusions

Sustainability-themed investments

Diversity

Mercer's and MGIE's preference is to emphasise integration and stewardship approaches, however, in a limited number of instances, exclusions of certain investments may be necessary based on Mercer's Investment Exclusions Framework. Controversial weapons and civilian firearms are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds, and passive equity funds. In addition tobacco companies (based on revenue) and nuclear weapons are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds. The Mercer sustainabilitythemed funds have additional exclusions, for example covering gambling, alcohol, adult entertainment and fossil fuels.

In addition, Mercer and MGIE monitors for highseverity breaches of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Principles that relate to human rights, labour, environmental and corruption issues. An allocation to MGIE's Sustainable Equities is included within the Plan's portfolio of Growth assets, with the strategic allocation to Sustainable Equities now accounting for c. 8.3% of the Growth Portfolio.

The Mercer annual sustainability report includes more detail on the passive Sustainable Global Equity fund, including a more granular breakdown of the fund against ESG metrics, for example the UN Sustainability Development Goals.

Mercer's ambition to promote diversity extends beyond its own business through to the managers it appoints. This is partly assessed within the manager research process and documented in a dedicated section within research reports.

Mercer considers broader forms of diversity in decision-making, but currently report on gender diversity. As at 1 April 2023, 35% of the Key Decision Makers (KDM's) within Mercer Investment Solutions team are non-male, and Mercer's long term target is 50%.

Within the Fixed Income universe, the average fund has 13% non-male KDM's and within the EMEA Active Equity universe, the average is 17%. Figures relating to Mercer Fixed Income and Active Equity Funds are currently slightly ahead or aligned, at 15% and 17%.

Over the year to 31 December 2022, there has been an increase across both active equity and fixed income multi-client funds and their respective universes and across both active equity and fixed income multi-client funds, the representation of females KDMs is higher than the broader universe of 13.7%. Mercer expect this number to grow over time both across our funds and the industry as a whole, supported in part through our engagements with managers on the topic and participation in industry initiatives.

In Q3 2022, MGIE was confirmed as a signatory of the UK Chapter of the 30% Club and helped to establish the Irish Chapter over 2023.

Engagement

<u>The 2023 Stewardship Report</u> highlights the engagement objectives which have been set, examples of engagement and the escalation process and participation in collaborative initiatives. Mercer's annual Global Manager Engagement Survey on sustainability and stewardship topics, now in its third year, was distributed to over 200 managers. The survey seeks to gather information from each manager appointed in the Mercer Funds on their broad approach to stewardship as part of their investment integration, as well as gain insights and examples of voting and engagement activities. The results from the survey provides an important source of information for tracking and measuring our managers' stewardship efforts to assess effectiveness and to identify potential areas for improvement. Results and insights from the survey will be shared in Mercer's Annual Stewardship Report which is reviewed by the Trustee.

3. TRUSTEE'S POLICY ON EXERCISE OF RIGHTS (INCLUDING VOTING RIGHTS) ATTACHING TO PLAN INVESTMENTS

Policy

The Trustee's policy is to delegate responsibility for the discretionary investment management of Plan assets to Mercer, and to invest the Plan's assets in a range of Mercer Funds for which MGIE or relevant Mercer affiliate acts as investment manager. In order for the Trustee to discharge its obligations with respect of voting and engagement, it requires reporting on the engagement and voting undertaken within the Mercer Funds in order to consider whether the policies align with those of the Trustee.

Voting rights that apply with respect to the underlying investments attached to the Mercer Funds are, ultimately, delegated to the third party investment managers appointed by MGIE. In delegating these rights, MGIE accepts that managers are typically best placed to exercise voting rights and prioritise particular engagement topics by security, given they are expected to have detailed knowledge of both the governance and the operations of the companies and issuers they invest in. However, Mercer has a pivotal role in monitoring their stewardship activities and promoting more effective stewardship practices, including ensuring attention is given to more strategic themes and topics. As such, proxy voting responsibility is given to listed equity investment managers with an expectation that all shares are to be voted in a timely manner and a manner deemed most likely to protect and enhance long-term value. Mercer and MGIE carefully evaluates each sub-investment manager's capability in ESG engagement and proxy voting, as part of the selection process to ensure it is representing Mercer's commitment to good governance, integration of sustainability considerations. Managers are expected to take account of current best practice such as the UK Stewardship Code, to which Mercer is a signatory. As such the Trustee does not use the direct services of a proxy voter.

Voting: As part of the monitoring of managers' approaches to voting, MGIE assesses how managers are voting against management and seeks to obtain the rationale behind voting activities, particularly in cases where split votes may occur (where managers vote in different ways for the same proposal). MGIE portfolio managers will use these results to inform their engagements with managers on their voting activities.

Set out below is a summary of voting activity for the year to 31 December 2023 for a range of Mercer Funds that the Plan's assets are invested in. This may include information in relation to funds that the Plan's assets were no longer invested in at the year end. The statistics set out in the table below are drawn from the Glass Lewis voting system (via the custodian of the Mercer Funds). Typically, votes exercised against management can indicate a thoughtful and active approach. This is particularly visible where votes have been exercised to escalate engagement objectives. The expectation is for all shares to be voted.

Fund	Total P	Total Proposals			Vote Decision				ainst Mgmt	Meetings	
Fund	Eligible Proposals	Proposals Voted On	For	Against	t Abstain No	Action (Other	For	Against	No.	Against
MGI Eurozone Equity Fund	4,501	4,308	84%	12%	1%	4%	0%	87%	13%	272	54%
MGI UK Equity Fund	2,082	2,076	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	98%	2%	94	29%
Mercer Multi-Asset Credit Fund (1)	17	17	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	94%	6%	6	17%
MGI Emerging Markets Equity Fund	3,930	3,718	82%	13%	4%	1%	0%	86%	14%	404	40%
Mercer Global Small Cap Equity Fund	6,463	6,162	86%	8%	0%	4%	2%	91%	9%	544	39%
Mercer Passive Low Volatility Equity UCITS CCF	4,165	4,084	82%	13%	0%	2%	3%	85%	15%	291	75%
Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF	3,217	3,093	75%	19%	0%	4%	2%	78%	22%	322	70%
Mercer Passive Climate Transition Infrastructure Equity UCITS CCF	3,287	3,102	70%	23%	3%	3%	1%	74%	26%	305	70%
Mercer Passive Fundamental Indexation Global Equity	3,202	3,159	83%	13%	0%	1%	3%	86%	14%	223	72%
Mercer Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF	17,162	16,493	75%	19%	1%	3%	2%	77%	23%	1184	82%
Mercer China Equity Fund	5,177	5,097	88%	11%	1%	0%	0%	88%	12%	500	44%

⁽¹⁾ Voting Activity figures for the Mercer Multi-Asset Credit fund relate to a small number of equity holdings within the fund's underlying segregated mandates. Please note this does not include voting activity from any underlying pooled strategies within the fund over the period

- "Eligible Proposals" reflect all proposals of which managers were eligible to vote on over the period

- "Proposals Voted On" reflect the proposals managers have voted on over the period (including votes For and Against, and any frequency votes encompassed in the "Other" category)"

- "No Action" reflects instances where managers have not actioned a vote. MGIE may follow up with managers to understand the reasoning behind these decisions, and to assess the systems managers have in place to ensure voting rights are being used meaningfully

- "Other" refers to proposals in which the decision is frequency related (e.g. 1 year or 3 year votes regarding the frequency of future say-on-pay).
- "No. of meetings" represents meetings were eligible to vote at.
- "Against*" represents in what % of meetings voted at least once against management.
- "Meetings No." refers to the number of meetings the managers were eligible to vote at.

- "Meetings Against" refers to the no. of meetings where the managers voted at least once against management, reported as a % of the total eligible meetings.

Significant Votes: The Trustee has based the definition of significant votes on Mercer's <u>Beliefs, Materiality and Impact (BMI) Framework</u>. Reported below are the *most* significant proposals over the period. Significant proposals are determined using the following criteria:

1. The proposal topic relates to an Engagement Priority (climate change, human/labour rights, and diversity). This is classified in the "Proposal Description" column below, referenced as Environmental, Social, and Governance respectively.

2. The *most* significant proposals reported below relate to the three companies with the largest weight in each fund (relative to other companies in the full list of significant proposals).

Most Significant Votes

Fund	Company (Holding Weight)	Meeting Date: Proposal Text (Significance Category)	Manager Vote Decision (Intention to vote against management communicated – Rationale, if available	Proposal Outcome (Next steps to report, if any)
	Denny`s Corp.	17/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Paid Sick Leave (Social)	Against (N/a - The manager voted against this proposal, supportive of company management's argument that due to its highly franchised business model, the Company's direct control over the compensation and benefits arrangements is limited to the team members employed in its 66 Company-operated restaurants and corporate support functions, and that dictating employment practices could expose the Company to greater liability)	
	Bloomin' Brands (0.1%)	Regarding GHG Targets and	For (No - The manager supported this proposal, as setting GHG emissions targets will help the company manage climate change- and deforestation-related risks.)	43% Support Proposal did not pass. (Given the proponent also tabled this proposal in 2021, and received a majority vote in support, it was tabled again this year due to lack of progress and insufficient response from company management. The manager will monitor the company's response in light of this.)

		(Environment al)		
	Texas Roadhouse Inc (0.0%)	11/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding GHG Targets and Alignment Alignment with the Paris Agreement (Environment al)	(No - The manager supported this proposal, as setting GHG emissions targets will help the company manage climate change- and deforestation-related risks.)	40% Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
Mercer	Apple Inc (7.1%)	10/03/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Median Gender and Racial Pay Equity Report (Governance)	For (No - Manager's policy dictates they will support proposals that seek the disclosure of the median pay gap.)	32% Support Proposal did not pass. (The shareholder proposal received support of 33.8% of votes cast. Manager will be reviewing whether Apple take further steps in regard to diversity reporting.)
Passive Fundament al Indexation Global Equity	Microsoft Corporation (2.6%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding EEO Policy Risk Report (Social)	Against (No - The company's existing policies prohibit discrimination based on political affiliations, The company reports on its diversity and inclusion initiatives and has initiatives in place to increase diverse hiring. The company prohibits discrimination on the basis of protected class and seeks to promote a culture based on equal opportunity. This proposal is covered by existing policies.)	1% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
	Microsoft Corporation (2.6%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on	(No - Shareholders would benefit from increased disclosure regarding how the company is managing human rights-	33% Support Proposal did not pass. (We shall monitor the response from the company given the high level of support for this proposal)

	Siting in Countries of Significant Human Rights Concern (Social)		
Microsoft Corporation (2.6%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Climate Risk In Employee Retirement Options (Environment al)	Against (No - The US Department of Labor has not finalized its rule on climate-related financial risk and the retirement plan offerings appear to be broad enough to accommodate employees' desires to incorporate greater environmental and social considerations than the default plan.)	9% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report.)
Fedex Corp (1.2%)	21/09/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Paid Sick Leave (Social)	(No - The manager voted in favour of this proposal, and are	11% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
Fedex Corp (1.2%)	21/09/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Just Transition Reporting (Environment al)	(No - While the company is making good progress (for example the new electric vehicle fleet and its long term effect on GHG emissions), the manager supported this	30% Support Proposal did not pass. (The shareholder proposal received support of 30% of votes cast. Manager will be reviewing whether Fedex take further steps in regard to Just Transition reporting)

	Fedex Corp (1.2%)	21/09/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Climate Risk In Employee Retirement Default Options (Environment al)	For (No - The manager supported this proposal, given the information requested in the report would allow plan members and shareholders to better evaluate the company's strategies and management of related risks.)	8% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report.)
Mercer	EDP-Energias DE Portugal S.A. (1.9%)	ot 2030	current level of disclosures are sufficient to allow shareholders to understand and evaluate how the company intends to meet its climate objectives. The company has adopted a net zero ambition and has set reduction targets for its Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions. The Company also provides reporting aligned with the TCED and information	100% Support Proposal passed. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess their progress across E, S and G factors.)
Passive Climate Transition	CenterPoint Energy (1.7%)	Regarding Scope 3	For (No - The manager voted for this resolution is applied as they expect companies to set 1.5 degree aligned targets covering all scopes of emissions.)	18% Support Proposal did not pass. (While there is room for improvement regarding scope 3 targets, the company has made clear progress over recent years. They have committed to Net Zero direct emissions by 2035, driven by an accelerated closure of coal plants replaced by solar, wind and batteries. The manager will continue to engage as the company progresses its commitment.)
	Southern Company (1.8%)	24/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on	Against (N/a - A vote against is applied as the manager expects companies to be taking sufficient action on the key issue of climate change.)	Withdrawn (The proposal was withdrawn following the managers' vote.)

	Net Zero 2050 Goal Progress (Environment al)		
Southern Company (1.8%)	Proposal Regarding Scope 3 GHG Emissions Targets (Environment	(No - A vote in support of this proposal is warranted as the manager expects increasing transparency of strategy aligned to 1.5C pathway in line with the company's stated commitments. This includes the disclosure of scope 1, 2 and material scope 3 GHG emissions and short-, medium- and long-term GHG emissions reduction targets. The manager will continue to monitor the Company's commitments and	19% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess their progress across E, S and G factors.)
Digital Realty Trust Inc (2.4%)	08/06/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Concealment Clauses (Governance)	considers these issues to be a material risk to companies.	Withdrawn (The proposal was withdrawn following the managers' vote. The manager will review the proposal if it is tabled again at future AGMs, and continue to monitor the company's D&I disclosure and policies.)
Klepierre (0.3%)	Climate Ambitions and Objectives (Environment al)	For (N/a - The manager supported this item, given the company's sufficient disclosures and commitments. The company has committed to a net-zero carbon portfolio by 2030 and its carbon reduction targets for Scopes 1 and 2 emissions, and Scope 3 for downstream leased assets was validated by the SBTi as aligned with a 1.5°C scenario.)	93% Support Proposal passed. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess their progress across E, S and G factors.)
Public Storage (3.4%)			35% Support Proposal did not pass.

		Regarding GHG Targets	consistent with the 1.5°C goal.)	companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager
	Lilly(Eli) & Co (1.4%)	Regarding	IN/2 = I he manager did not support this proposal as they	27% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
Passive Low	Microsoft Corporation (1.4%)	Regarding	Against (No - The company's existing policies prohibit discrimination based on political affiliations, The company reports on its diversity and inclusion initiatives and has initiatives in place to increase diverse hiring. The company prohibits discrimination on the basis of protected class and seeks to promote a culture based on equal opportunity. This proposal is covered by existing policies.)	1% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
	Microsoft Corporation (1.4%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Siting in Countries of Significant Human Rights		33% Support Proposal did not pass. (We shall monitor the response from the company given the high level of support for this proposal.)

		Concern (Social)		
	Microsoft Corporation (1.4%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Climate Risk In Employee Retirement Options (Environment al)	on climate-related financial risk and the retirement plan offerings appear to be broad enough to accommodate employees' desires to incorporate greater environmental and social considerations than the default plan.)	9% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
	PepsiCo Inc (1.4%)	Proposal Regarding Congruency Report on Net-Zero Emissions Policy		2% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
Passive Sustainable Global Equity	Alphabet Inc (2.4%)	02/06/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Impact	(The manager published their intention to vote for this resolution, against management's recommendation A vote in favour is applied as the manager supports such risk assessments as they consider human rights issues to be a material risk to companies.)	18% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess their progress across E, S and G factors.)
UCITS CCF	Alphabet Inc (2.4%)	02/06/2023 :	For	14% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee

	Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with Climate Commitment s and the Paris Agreement (Environment al)	lobbying activity in line with the Global standard on responsible corporate climate lobbying.)	companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess their progress across E, S and G factors.)
Apple Inc (7.5%)		For (No - A vote in favour was applied as the manager expects companies to disclose meaningful information on its gender pay gap and the initiatives it is applying to close any stated gap.)	33% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager has engaged with Apple a number of times in recent years, and will monitor their response to shareholder concerns on these issues.)
Microsoft Corporation (7.7%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding EEO Policy Risk Report (Social)	Against (N/a - The manager voted against this proposal, as the company appears to be taking appropriate steps to protect itself against risks related to discrimination based on political ideology or viewpoint.)	1% Support Proposal did not pass. (Microsoft is a company with whom the manager does have a direct relationship, and in their meetings with them, the manager intends to continue assessing their processes and disclosures regarding these issues.)
Microsoft Corporation (7.7%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Siting in Countries of Significant	For (No - The manager supported this proposal, as shareholders would benefit from increased disclosure regarding how the company is managing human rights-related risks in high-risk countries.)	33% Support Proposal did not pass. (Microsoft is a company with whom the manager does have a direct relationship, and in their meetings with them, the manager intends to continue assessing their processes and disclosures regarding these issues.)

	Microsoft Corporation (7.7%)	Report on Climate Risk In Employee Retirement Options (Environment al)	Against (N/a - The manager voted against this proposal, given because the company's retirement plan is managed by a third-party fiduciary and employees are offered a self- directed option.)	9% Support Proposal did not pass. (Microsoft is a company with whom the manager does have a direct relationship, and in their meetings with them, the manager intends to continue assessing their processes and disclosures regarding these issues.)
MGI Eurozone Equity Fund		Reporting and Reducing Greenhouse	Against (N/a - Given the Company's existing targets and disclosures, as well as the complexity and uncertainty in setting these targets, managers did not support this proposal.)	16% Support Proposal did not pass. (Concerns with the Company's 2030 targets being reduced in the months leading up to the AGM were noted, particularly following 85% support from shareholders in 2022 when they were asked to approve the company's former targets. This alone didn't warrant a vote in favour, given the belief that the Company should not be required to adhere to a strategy that the board no longer believes is in the best interests of shareholders as a result of changes in the market or in demand.)
	Engie (0.7%)	Proposal Regarding Annual Say on Climate and Climate	(No - The manager voted for the proposed amendments as they would favor additional information of shareholders without infringing on the Board's prerogatives. Despite this	21% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)

	TotalEnergies SE (1.0%)	Opinion on 2023 Sustainability and Climate Progress Report (Environment al)	While they felt there was still room for improvements in some areas, they were satisfied that the company committed to reduce by 30 percent scope 3 GHG emissions from oil production by 2030 and committed to disclose absolute targets for GHG emissions covering all activities as well as further information regarding their environmental impact.)	86% Support Proposal passed. (Managers are continuing to monitor the company against its recent commitments.)
	TotalEnergies SE (1.0%)	26/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Scope 3 GHG Target and Alignment with Paris Agreement (Environment al)		29% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
MGI UK Equity Fund		Regarding Reporting	N/a - Manager voted against as there were concerns that	16% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)

Legal & General Group plc (1.5%)	18/05/2023 : Approval of Climate Transition Plan (Environment		95% Support Proposal passed. (None to report)
Shell Plc (4.5%)	Energy Transition Progress (Environment al)	For (N/a - Given the totality of circumstances, including the recent energy crisis, the manager acknowledge the potential of utilizing this proposal to express concerns about the ambition of the Company's climate plan, such as its lack	77% Support Proposal passed. (None to report)
Shell Plc (4.5%)	23/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Scope 3 GHG Target and	Against (N/a - Given the Company's existing GHG reduction goals, and its extensive disclosure on the steps it is taking to	19% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)